



## UNGEI Workshop Evaluation Sheet

**Rating Scale:** Please use the following scale for giving your rating.

<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Very good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>
1	2	3	4	5

**Please give your rating on the following aspects:**

1. Contents	Rating (1-5)	Comments
<i>Field Visit</i>	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Recommendations/observations were prepared. No option was provided to share more.</li> <li>➤ It was an excellent introduction to education in Nepal.</li> <li>➤ All were not included.</li> <li>➤ UNICEF staff and driver very helpful. School an excellent of community initiative</li> <li>➤ Should have shown us a successful as well as unsuccessful project.</li> <li>➤ Good opportunity to learn from the situation on the ground.</li> <li>➤ It was excellent in all aspects.</li> </ul>
<i>Security Briefing</i>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Briefly was not useful - we were not prepared for a possible emergency.</li> <li>➤ Too much that was unnecessary.</li> <li>➤ Very comprehensive but was a bit alarming.</li> <li>➤ Too scary.</li> <li>➤ Too heavy. Could have given ways to overcome attacks/incidents.</li> <li>➤ Factual but frightening.</li> <li>➤ Should have handed out action plan if something happens! Where to go etc.</li> <li>➤ It was all based on norms</li> </ul>
Keynote Presentation	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Was very informative. Required more cross reference.</li> <li>➤ Presentation covered important elements effectively.</li> <li>➤ Dynamic contribution. Excellent comments/inputs.</li> <li>➤ Started the workshop with a punch.</li> <li>➤ Excellent</li> <li>➤ Good overview-comprehensive</li> <li>➤ Excellent presentations. Session's moderation extremely well done</li> <li>➤ Too short time</li> </ul>
Discussants on Keynote Presentation	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The paper should incorporate suggestions made.</li> <li>➤ Very useful inputs were given.</li> <li>➤ There were some variations in the strengths of the discussants.</li> <li>➤ Stimulating &amp; rigorous discussion. The paper is good but incomplete.</li> <li>➤ Time given is too short.</li> <li>➤ It seems many questions and comments are not</li> </ul>

### UNGEI Workshop Evaluation Sheet

		<p>taken.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The discussion was superb.</li> <li>➤ Substantive</li> <li>➤ Excellent (ILO +2<sup>nd</sup> Discussant)</li> </ul>
Group Work (June 11) on gender inequalities in teaching and learning	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ When a group is represented by many members of a country it is difficult for others.</li> <li>➤ Lack of similarity between the countries meant challenging exercise.</li> <li>➤ Group work gives a lot of opportunity for learning and sharing</li> <li>➤ Groups demonstrated high level of commitment.</li> <li>➤ Some good ideas emerged from the group work, with practical recommendations.</li> <li>➤ Gets reduced to generalities.</li> <li>➤ Unclear questions but good UNICEF facilitator. Everyone answered the same question, some group could have been given other questions.</li> </ul>
Share Fair ( <u>Interactive Displays</u> )	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The idea needed to be clearly communicated for greater effectiveness.</li> <li>➤ UNGEI displays were really good. Should have been for all the countries.</li> <li>➤ Materials not fully introduced well.</li> <li>➤ It was not so "interactive" as expected. Could have had more organized preparation.</li> <li>➤ It was not interactive in any way.</li> <li>➤ Inadequate time to take full advantage.</li> <li>➤ Bigger venue next time and in a more visible area.</li> <li>➤ The share fair was a great platform.</li> <li>➤ A bit more dynamism/interaction could make it more fruitful.</li> <li>➤ It should be improved and organizers should inform participants have to wait for the last day for taking home</li> <li>➤ Only to display materials\booklets etc.</li> </ul>
Partnerships for results in promoting gender equality in education	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ One less presenter would have been better.</li> <li>➤ Do not understand this question.</li> <li>➤ Time management should be improved.</li> <li>➤ Panelists should be advised to make short but comprehensive presentation and concrete answers to the questions.</li> <li>➤ Good exchange - need for clarifying expectations.</li> <li>➤ Excellent case studies</li> <li>➤ Very informative; could have also highlighted the difficulties of partnerships.</li> </ul>
Group Work (June 12) on strengthening UNGEI's role	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Useful</li> <li>➤ Excellent discussion with refreshing analysis and suggestions on the way forward.</li> <li>➤ Perhaps people are already tired.</li> <li>➤ This group work was absolutely significant.</li> <li>➤ Very good discussion. Where are the complexity recorded.</li> <li>➤ Group dynamics could have been better</li> </ul>

### UNGEI Workshop Evaluation Sheet

		Unclear questions\too many questions ➤ Very interesting, brought out local ambiguities and complexities.
--	--	---

2. Others	Rating	Comments
Effectiveness of presentations	4	➤ Crisp ➤ Very good ➤ Come up with some more insights and examples ➤ Group presentation was good
Materials/handouts	4	➤ Could have been done earlier. ➤ Very good. ➤ Good power point presentations ➤ Expecting more materials in future
Quality, flexibility and accessibility of Facilitators	4	➤ Especially on last group work it should have been country specific.

3. Workshop environment	Rating	Comments
Learning from one another	4	➤ Great ➤ Good setting for sharing ➤ Looking forward for more interaction with different people around the globe.
Workshop time and duration	4	➤ Too tight ➤ Too short. ➤ Discussion time perhaps a bit too short. ➤ Duration is right but time management need for improvement. ➤ Time well kept ➤ Good time management ➤ Reasonable time imparted ➤ Could have been longer
Workshop room set up	4	➤ Some rooms too apart... time wasted moving between rooms ➤ Very appropriate ➤ Initiate some trainings within the workshop
Stay, food and logistics (including field visit)	4	➤ Decaf tea would have been better welcome. Also fruit during tea breaks as an alternative to cookies. ➤ Good hotel, excellent customer service. ➤ Good coordination ➤ Food would be excellent if more fruit is provided (Lunch + coffee) break ➤ Very good. ➤ Excellent

4. Additional feedback/suggestions on any aspect of the workshop - (how can we make it more effective when we do such a workshop next time?)

- Give more time for the sessions.
- There must be an adequate communication to the participants about the agenda and any key notes to facilitate the participants to come prepared.



### UNGEI Workshop Evaluation Sheet

- May be more small groups on independent country analysis.
- Good resource persons in the workshop was additional value as well as the networking developed among the participants/information sharing.
- Presentations were good and informative.
- Was not clear. No added value of initiating the national participants when not all experience would be shared and contributions heard?
- Need to give more considerations to the diversity in the region. e.g. cultural differences that affects the way participants from South/East Asia and South Asia participate.
- Translation of the child girl was not correct.
- Abbreviations used in the presentations should be given with the full forms.
- Most dynamic UNGEI meeting I have participated in. The design of a commissioned research piece was very effective in the discussion well related, articulate and proactive.
- The field trip was an excellent addition to the meeting. The impact of the field trip would be improved by including a locally based donor in each group to suggest follow up.
- Need more dynamic group work.
- Workshop time may be extended up to 5 day.
- For future UNGEI meeting you may invite those participants who attended this workshop. It will make effective outcomes of UNGEI workshop.
- Plenary sessions were at times too drawn out and became monotonous. Sometime the speakers or questions could not be heard.
- Some energizers could have been done to make the sessions less monotonous.
- Some of the information regarding funding of participants for attending this meeting was unclear.
- The role of media should be focused more.
- Improve on regional/sub regional representation at workshops.
- Maintain the quality of technical discussions in future,
- It was great to learn about the work being done and promote girls education.
- In such regional meetings, special concluding sessions with media should be planned. Because media plays vital role for advocating girls education as well as rights.
- Field visit was too long, flight schedule unreliable. Next time organize day field visits rather than one long stretch.
- First session should also comment not only questions as these are many experts in the room and lots of experience. Rather, discussion/comments could center around issues and questions
- Totally excellent
- 2 days long enough
- Make sure use of all the different experiences
- Very good to present draft keynote and to discuss
- Not a good idea to have group work right after lunch when everyone was tired
- More targeted group work i.e. to answer " hot" questions, take a stand in different question
- In general I think UNGEI secretariat did a good job since it was the first workshop at regional level.
- Providing comprehensive information on UNGEI in terms of its history, activities, and its members before the conference.
- Providing more space for the participants to share their experiences.